

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

11 346 2005

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NSI-8		FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Notification of Transmittal of International Search (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, ite below.				
International application No. PCT/US03/22471		International filing date (day/mon 17 July 2003 (17.07.2003)	th/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 19 July 2002 (19.07.2002)			
Applicant NEXT SAFETY, INC							
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.							
This international search report consists of a total of 5 sheets. It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.							
 Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
	the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).						
	With regard to any nucleotide search was carried out on the b		losed in th	e international application, the international			
	contained in the international	d application in written form.					
Ц	filed together with the interr	national application in computer rea	adable forr	n.			
	furnished subsequently to th	is Authority in written form.					
	furnished subsequently to th	is Authority in computer readable	form.				
	the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
	the statement that the inform been furnished.	nation recorded in computer readab	le form is	identical to the written sequence listing has			
2.	Certain claims were found	unsearchable (See Box I).					
3.	Unity of invention is lacking	g (See Box II).					
4. With r	egard to the title,						
	the text is approved as subm	itted by the applicant.					
	the text has been established	by this Authority to read as follow	vs:				
5. With r	egard to the abstract,						
	the text is approved as subm	itted by the applicant.					
\boxtimes				y as it appears in Box III. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this			
6. The fig	5. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1						
\boxtimes	as suggested by the applicant	t.	_	None of the figures			
	because the applicant failed t	to suggest a figure.		•			
	because this figure better cha	aracterizes the invention.	.•				
	1/010 /F + 1 + 2 /7 1 +000						

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 1998)



International application No.	
PC 33/22471	

Box I Observations where certain claims were f and unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sneet)				
This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
Claim Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:				
2. Claim Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:				
3. Claim Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).				
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please See Continuation Sheet				
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.				
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.				
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:				
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-38 Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.				
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1)) (July 1998)



International application No.
PC: 33/22471

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)

Communication methods and apparatus using ultraviolet (UV) light are provided. Safe UV communication devices, including remote control units, can use highly efficient UV LEDs (100) and very low-noise UV photodetectors (110). In some cases, the LEDs (100) emit light at wavelengths below 400 nm, below 320 nm, or even below 280 nm. In one embodiment, communication can be achieved using an LED (100) that emits less than about 1 picowatt of UV energy at a photodetector distance of up to at least about 10 meters. Longer range communication can also be achieved at higher power levels. Photodetectors (110) having very low dark current at room temperature, such as below about 1x 10^-9 A/m^2, or even below about 1x 10^-12 A/m^2, are preferable.						

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (July 1998)

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER						
IPC(7) : H04B 10/02, 10/04, 10/06, 10/08, 10/16; H01S 3/13; H04R 15/00, 17/00; G02F 1/33; F21V 09/06						
	US CL : 398/38,106,118,126-128,130,133,135,138,140,173,182,202,208; 372/29.012; 367/140; 359/311,350,361; According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
	DS SEARCHED	aquonai ciassification and if C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		1 (Carrier graphole)				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 398/38,106,118,126-128,130,133,135,138,140,173,182,202,208; 372/29.012; 367/140; 359/311,350,361;						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)						
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a		Relevant to claim No.			
Y,P	US 2002/0149822 A1 (Stroud) 17 October 2002 (17	7.10.2002), [0067], [0027],[0030],	1-13, 15-17,20-21,25- 37			
Y	[0036] and Fig. 8 US 5,093,576 A (Edmond et al) 03 March 1992 (03	3.03.1992), Col. 3, lines 21-48, Col.	21-24			
Y	14, line 56-Col. 15, line 44, and Fig. 3-6 US 5,354,979 A (Adelson et al) 11October 1994 (11.10.1994), Col. 2, line42-Col. 4, line 1,16,18					
Y	36 US 6,014,236 A (Flaherty) 11 January 2000 (11.01.2000), Abstract and Fig. 6		1,16,18-19,38			
Y,P	US 6,647,212 B1 (Toriumi et al) 11 November 2003 (11.11.2003), Col. 4, line-49-Col. 5, line 34 and Fig. 2, 4, 6, 9 and 12		4-13,27-36			
Y	US 5,191,460 A (Lapatovich) 02 March 1993 (02.03.1993), Col. 2, line 66-Col. 4, line		2-3,25-26			
Y	US 4,887,312 (Dannhaeuser) 12 December 1988 (12.12.1988), Col. 2, line 41-Col. 4, line 30		17			
		1.1				
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.				
* S ₁	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applic	rnational filing date or priority ation but cited to understand the			
	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be lar relevance	principle or theory underlying the invention of particular relevance; the	ntion			
	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone	red to involve an inventive step			
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination				
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious to a person skilled in the	e art			
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		"&" document member of the same patent				
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report				
	2003 (30.12.2003)	Authorized officer	1-0(b.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		1900 gmas 100 /				
Commissioner for Patents		Jason Chan				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. (703)305-3900				
	. (703)305-3230					

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHON MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION



PCT No.: PCT/US03/22471	
Examiner: Alex H Chan	
Attorney spoken to: Mass, Clifford	
Date of call: 23 December 2003	
Amount of payment approved:	
Deposit account number to be charged:	
Attorney elected to pay for <u>ALL</u> additional inventions	
Attorney elected to pay only for the additional inventions of	overed by
Group(s):	
encompassing –	
Claim(s):	
Attorney elected NOT to pay for any additional inventions, to (Group I) covered by Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> has been searched.	therefore, only the first claimed invention
Attorney was orally advised that there is no right to protest	for any group not paid for.
Attorney was orally advised that any protest must be filed r of the Search Report (PCT/ISA/210).	no later than <u>15 days</u> from the mailing
Time Limit For Filing A Protest	
Applicant is hereby given <u>15 days</u> from the mailing date of this Search Reholding of lack of unity of invention. In accordance with PCT Rule 40.2, of lack of unity only with respect to the group(s) paid for.	
Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention: Please See Continuation Sheet	
Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Search Report.	······································
USPTO/299 (August 1997) B	

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL 'NO.: EV 481672433 US

International application No: PCT/US03/22471

ATTACHMENT TO CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

Continuation of Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:

Group I (Claims 1,39-54) corresponds to Fig. 5; Group II (Claims 1,39-54) corresponds to Fig. 6-7; Group III (Claims 1,55-56) corresponds to Fig. 8; Group IV (Claims 1,57-62) corresponds to Fig. 16;

Claim 1 is generic.

Group I, claim(s) 1-38, is drawn to a wireless remote unit for use with low noise UV photodetector.

Group II, claims(s) 1 and 39-54, is drawn to a material detector.

Group III, claim(s) 1 and 55-56, is drawn to a traffic detector.

Group IV, claim(s) 1 and 57-62, is drawn to an aircraft collison avoidance system for a plurality o aircraft.

The invention listed as Groups, I, II, III, and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The "special technical features" of Group I are drawn to a wireless remote unit(s) comprsise(s) UV LED, a microprocessor connected to the LED and an energy storage device for storing electrical energy. Groups II, III and IV do not share any of these features.

The "special technical features" of Group II are drawn to a material detector(s) which has specifics about power, distance, wavelength, transducer and details of the power source. No groups share any of such features.

The "special technical features" of Group III are the traffic detector(s) comprise(s) at least one light emitting diode having a wavelength shorter than about 310 nm, at least one UV photodetector that detects and generates electrical signal indicative of light detected and a microprocessor coupled to at least one photodetector to determine whether an automobile is present and generate a trigger signal when automobile is determined to be present. No groups share these features for an automobile.

The "special technical features" of Group IV are the aircraft collison avoidance system comprise(s) at least one light emitting diode that emits a first UV light, a first microprocessor having specifics about its modulation of the position of the mirrors, a UV photodetector that detects a second UV light previously encoded and generates an electrical signal in response to second UV light with details of controllable mirrors, and a second microprocessor. No groups share these features for an aircraft avoidance system.

Groups I, II, III and IV do not share each group's "special technical features" either singularly or as a whole. Thus, unity of invention is lacking.

Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Search Report.